

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,166	08/05/2003	Julian Crawford	035470.00001	6335
75	590 09/13/2005		EXAM	INER
Henry S. Jaudon			BRUENJES, CHRISTOPHER P	
McNair Law Fi	irm, P.A.			
P.O. Box 10827			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Greenville, SC 29601			1772	,
	·		DATE MAILED: 09/13/2004	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/634,166	CRAWFORD, JULIAN	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Christopher P. Bruenjes	1772	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	S
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
· <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•	rits is
Dienoeiti	ion of Claims			
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7 and 16-19</u> is/are claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the large drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing (s) is objected to by	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.	` ,
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)□ _ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the second state of the s	ion No ed in this National Stag	· ·
Attachmen	t(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•	
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)))

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

1. The 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections of claims 8-9 and 11-15 of record in the Office Action mailed June 29, 2005, Pages 3-4 Paragraph 3, are withdrawn due to Applicant's amendments in the Paper filed July 28, 2005.

REPEATED REJECTIONS

- 2. The 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection of claim 10 is repeated for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action mailed June 29, 2005, Pages 3-4 Paragraph 3.
- 3. The 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections of claims 8 and 10-11 as anticipated by Plymale are repeated for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action mailed June 29, 2005, Pages 5-6 Paragraph 4.

Regarding the newly added limitation that the drawn thermoplastic filaments are "longitudinally extending", Plymale teaches especially in Figure 3 that the thermoplastic filaments are longitudinally extending.

Art Unit: 1772

4. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of claims 9 and 12-15 over Plymale are repeated for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action mailed June 29, 2005, Pages 7-8 Paragraph 5.

Regarding the newly added limitation that the drawn thermoplastic filaments are "longitudinally extending", Plymale teaches especially in Figure 3 that the thermoplastic filaments are longitudinally extending.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

- 5. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections of claims 8-9 and 11-15 of record have been considered but are moot since the rejections have been withdrawn.
- 6. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection of claim 10 of record have been considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has stated that the amendments to the claims overcome the 112 rejections. Although it is agreed that the amendments overcome the 112 rejections regarding antecedent basis it does not overcome the rejection of claim 10 regarding what is adjacent said longitudinally extending drawn thermoplastic filaments and therefore what is substantially in

Art Unit: 1772

contact. Specifically, is the limitation stating that the filaments are in contact with each other or that the space adjacent to each of the filaments is in contact and therefore suggesting that the filaments are parallel to each other?

7. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 rejections of claims 8-15 over Plymale have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that the longitudinally extending thermoplastic yarns are not "drawn", Plymale teaches that the longitudinally extending thermoplastic yarns are extruded and pass between guide members and are pulled by take-up rolls (col.3, 1.5-45). The limitation does not require a definitive amount of drawing of the filaments merely that the filaments are drawn. Because the filaments of Plymale are extruded and pulled by take-up rolls, the filaments are drawn at least minimally. Without specifically defining the amount of drawing performed by the claimed filaments any filament that is drawn to any extent is within the scope of the claimed drawn filaments.

In response to Applicant's argument that the helically wrapped thermoplastic filaments are not "elastic", Plymale teaches that the helically wrapped thermoplastic filaments are

Art Unit: 1772

formed of rubber (col.3, 1.66-72). The definition of rubber includes the limitation "an <u>elastic</u> substance." Therefore, by teaching that the filament is formed of rubber the filament is inherently elastic because rubber is an elastic substance.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Bruenjes whose telephone number is 571-272-1489.

Art Unit: 1772

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher P Bruenjes Examiner

Art Unit 1772

CPB CPA

September 6, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

INER 9/1/05